IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.27721 of 2008
BHUSHAN PURBEY
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 **22.08.2008** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner is an accused in a case registered for the offences under Sections 2(I-a)(i), 7(i), 7(iii) and Rule 50(1) of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner the sample of vanaspati measuring one and half kilograms taken away by the Food Inspector was in fact kept for personal use of the petitioner, who runs a grocery shop and the same was not meant for sale. It is further submitted that the testing laboratory found that it did not confirm to the prescribed standards.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Having considered the submissions, as noticed above, and in the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from today, the petitioner, namely, Bhushan Purbey be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga in connection

with G.O.Case No. 24 of 2007 corresponding to T.R.No. 2542 of 2007 subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Manish **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha,J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL